NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 16 2004

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATHY A. CATTERSON CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JENNIFER GAYLE LEAHY,

No. 01-17467*

Petitioner - Appellant,

D.C. No. CV-96-03452-PJH

v.

MEMORANDUM**

TEENA FARMON, Warden,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Phyllis J. Hamilton, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 11, 2002 San Francisco, California

Withdrawn from Submission December 9, 2002 Resubmitted April 3, 2003***

^{*} Decided and filed together with the companion case of *Kesser v. Cambra*, No. 02-15475, ____ WL ____, (9th Cir. 2004) (published disposition).

^{**} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as may be provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{***} This appeal was withdrawn from submission pending the Supreme Court's decision in *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322 (2003). This (continued...)

Before: BALDOCK,**** KLEINFELD, and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.*****

Petitioner Jennifer Gayle Leahy, and her co-defendants Richard Kesser and Stephen Chiara, were convicted in California state court of first degree murder with special circumstances and sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. *See* Cal. Penal Code §§ 187(a), 190.2(a)(1), (a)(15). The California Court of Appeal affirmed, *People v. Chiara*, No. 93DA1422 (Cal. Ct. App., filed Dec. 12, 1995), and the California Supreme Court denied review. *People v. Chiara*, Nos. A060502, S051306 (Cal., filed March 14, 1996).

Petitioner thereafter petitioned the federal district court for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The district court denied the petition, *Leahy v. Farmon*, 177 F. Supp. 2d 985 (N.D. Cal. 2001), and granted a Certificate of Appealability on one issue: Whether Petitioner's

^{***(...}continued)
Memorandum was circulated to the panel on October 23, 2003.

^{****} The Honorable Bobby R. Baldock, Senior United States Court of Appeals Judge for the Tenth Circuit, sitting by designation.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

equal protection rights as enunciated in *Batson v. Kentucky*, 476 U.S. 79 (1986), were violated when the prosecutor peremptorily challenged three Native Americans from the venire. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).

The facts and legal issues in this appeal are identical to those discussed and resolved in *Kesser v. Cambra*, No. 02-15475, _____ WL ____ (9th Cir. 2004) (published disposition), filed concurrently with this Memorandum Order. For the reasons stated in that opinion, the judgment of the district court denying Petitioner a writ of habeas corpus is

AFFIRMED.